

# 1. List of Recommendations

## Recommendation 1

Notwithstanding the specific recommendations made below, taxi and PHV legislation should be urgently revised to provide a safe, clear and up to date structure that can effectively regulate the two-tier trade as it is now.

## Recommendation 2

Government should legislate for national minimum standards for taxi and PHV licensing - for drivers, vehicles and operators (**see recommendation 6**). The national minimum standards that relate to the personal safety of passengers must be set at a level to ensure a high minimum safety standard across every authority in England.

Government must convene a panel of regulators, passenger safety groups and operator representatives to determine the national minimum safety standards. Licensing authorities should, however, be able to set additional higher standards in safety and all other aspects depending on the requirements of the local areas if they wish to do so.

## Recommendation 3

Government should urgently update its Best Practice Guidance. To achieve greater consistency in advance of national minimum standards, licensing authorities should only deviate from the recommendations in exceptional circumstances. In this event licensing authorities should publish the rationale for this decision.

Where aspects of licensing are not covered by guidance nor national minimum standards, or where there is a desire to go above and beyond the national minimum standard, licensing authorities should aspire to collaborate with adjoining areas to reduce variations in driver, vehicle and operator requirements. Such action is particularly, but not exclusively, important within city regions.

## Recommendation 4

In the short-term, large urban areas, notably those that have metro mayors, should emulate the model of licensing which currently exists in London and be combined into one licensing area. In non-metropolitan areas collaboration and joint working between smaller authorities should become the norm.

Government having encouraged such joint working to build capacity and effectiveness, working with the Local Government Association, should review progress in non-metropolitan areas over the next three years.

### **Recommendation 5**

As the law stands, 'plying for hire' is difficult to prove and requires significant enforcement resources. Technological advancement has blurred the distinction between the two trades.

Government should introduce a statutory definition of both 'plying for hire' and 'pre-booked' in order to maintain the two-tier system. This definition should include reviewing the use of technology and vehicle 'clustering' as well as ensuring taxis retain the sole right to be hailed on streets or at ranks.

Government should convene a panel of regulatory experts to explore and draft the definition.

### **Recommendation 6**

Government should require companies that act as intermediaries between passengers and taxi drivers to meet the same licensing requirements and obligations as PHV operators, as this may provide additional safety for passengers (e.g. though greater traceability).

### **Recommendation 7**

Central Government and licensing authorities should 'level the playing field' by mitigating additional costs faced by the trade where a wider social benefit is provided – for example, where a wheelchair accessible and/or zero emission capable vehicle is made available.

### **Recommendation 8**

Government should legislate to allow local licensing authorities, where a need is proven through a public interest test, to set a cap on the number of taxi and PHVs they license. This can help authorities to solve challenges around congestion, air quality and parking and ensure appropriate provision of taxi and private hire services for passengers, while maintaining drivers' working conditions.

### **Recommendation 9**

All licensing authorities should use their existing powers to make it a condition of licensing that drivers cooperate with requests from authorised compliance officers in other areas. Where a driver fails to comply with this requirement enforcement action should be taken as if the driver has failed to comply with the same request from an officer of the issuing authority.

### **Recommendation 10**

Legislation should be brought forward to enable licensing authorities to carry out enforcement and compliance checks and take appropriate action against any taxi or PHV in their area that is in breach of national minimum standards (**recommendation 2**) or the requirement that all taxi and PHV journeys should start and/or end within the area that issued the relevant licences (**recommendation 11**).

**Recommendation 11**

Government should legislate that all taxi and PHV journeys should start and/or end within the area for which the driver, vehicle and operator (PHV and taxi – see **recommendation 6**) are licensed. Appropriate measures should be in place to allow specialist services such as chauffeur and disability transport services to continue to operate cross border.

Operators should not be restricted from applying for and holding licences with multiple authorities, subject to them meeting both national standards and any additional requirements imposed by the relevant licensing authority.

**Recommendation 12**

Licensing authorities should ensure that their licensing, administration and enforcement functions are adequately resourced, setting fees at an appropriate level to enable this.

**Recommendation 13**

Legislation should be introduced by the Government as a matter of urgency to enable Transport for London to regulate the operation of pedicabs in London.

**Recommendation 14**

The Department for Transport and Transport for London should work together to enable the issue of Fixed Penalty Notices for both minor taxi and PHV compliance failings. The Department for Transport should introduce legislation to provide all licensing authorities with the same powers.

**Recommendation 15**

All ridesharing services should explicitly gain the informed consent of passengers at the time of a booking and commencement of a journey.

**Recommendation 16**

The Department for Transport must as a matter of urgency press ahead with consultation on a draft of its Statutory Guidance to local licensing authorities. The guidance must be explicit in its expectations of what licensing authorities should be doing to safeguard vulnerable passengers. The effectiveness of the guidance must be monitored in advance of legislation on national minimum standards.

### **Recommendation 17**

In the interests of passenger safety, particularly in the light of events in towns and cities like Rochdale, Oxford, Newcastle and Rotherham, all licensed vehicles must be fitted with CCTV (visual and audio) subject to strict data protection measures. Licensing authorities must use their existing power to mandate this ahead of inclusion in national minimum standards.

To support greater consistency in licensing, potentially reduce costs and assist greater out of area compliance, the Government must set out in guidance the standards and specifications of CCTV systems for use in taxis and PHVs. These must then be introduced on a mandatory basis as part of national minimum standards.

### **Recommendation 18**

As Government and local authorities would benefit from a reduction in crime in licensed vehicles both should consider ways in which the costs to small businesses of installing CCTV can be mitigated.

### **Recommendation 19**

National standards must set requirements to assist the public in distinguishing between taxis, PHVs and unlicensed vehicles. These should require drivers to have on display (e.g. a clearly visible badge or arm-band providing) relevant details to assist the passengers in identifying that they are appropriately licensed e.g. photograph of the driver and licence type i.e. immediate hire or pre-booked only.

All PHVs must be required to provide information to passengers including driver photo ID and the vehicle licence number, in advance of a journey. This would enable all passengers to share information with others in advance of their journey. For passengers who cannot receive the relevant information via digital means this information should be available through other means before passengers get into the vehicle.

### **Recommendation 20**

All drivers must be subject to enhanced DBS and barred lists checks. Licensing authorities should use their existing power to mandate this ahead of inclusion as part of national minimum standards.

All licensing authorities must require drivers to subscribe to the DBS update service and DBS checks should must be carried out at a minimum of every six months. Licensing authorities must use their existing power to mandate this ahead of inclusion as part of national standards.

### **Recommendation 21**

Government must issue guidance, as a matter of urgency, that clearly specifies convictions that it considers should be grounds for refusal or revocation of driver licences and the period for which these exclusions should apply. Licensing authorities must align their existing policies to this ahead of inclusion in national minimum standards.

#### **Recommendation 22**

The Quality Assurance Framework and Common Law Police Disclosure Provisions must be reviewed to ensure as much relevant information of conduct as well as crimes, by taxi and PHV drivers (and applicants) is disclosed ensuring that licensing authorities are informed immediately of any relevant incidents.

#### **Recommendation 23**

All licensing authorities must use the National Anti-Fraud Network (NAFN) register of drivers who have been refused or had revoked taxi or PHV driver licence. All those cases must be recorded, and the database checked for all licence applications and renewals. Licensing authorities must record the reasons for any refusal, suspension or revocation and provide those to other authorities as appropriate. The Government must, as a matter of urgency, bring forward legislation to mandate this alongside a national licensing database (**recommendation 24**).

#### **Recommendation 24**

As a matter of urgency Government must establish a mandatory national database of all licensed taxi and PHV drivers, vehicles and operators, to support stronger enforcement.

#### **Recommendation 25**

Licensing authorities must use their existing powers to require all drivers to undertake safeguarding/child sexual abuse and exploitation awareness training including the positive role that taxi/PHV drivers can play in spotting and reporting signs of abuse and neglect of vulnerable passengers. This requirement must form part of future national minimum standards.

#### **Recommendation 26**

All individuals involved in the licensing decision making process (officials and councillors) must be obliged to undertake appropriate training. The content of the training must form part of national minimum standards.

#### **Recommendation 27**

Government must review the assessment process of passenger carrying vehicle (PCV) licensed drivers and/or consideration of the appropriate boundary between taxis/PHVs and public service vehicles (PSVs).

#### **Recommendation 28**

Licensing authorities must require that all drivers are able to communicate **in English** orally and in writing to a standard that is required to fulfil their duties, **including in emergency and other challenging situations**.

**Recommendation 29**

All licensing authorities should use their existing powers to require that the taxi and PHV drivers they license undergo disability quality and awareness training. This should be mandated in national minimum standards.

**Recommendation 30**

Licensing authorities that have low levels of wheelchair accessible vehicles (WAVs) in their taxi and PHV fleet should ascertain if there is unmet demand for these vehicles. In areas with unmet demand licensing authorities should consider how existing powers could be used to address this, including making it mandatory to have a minimum number of their fleet that are WAVs. As a matter of urgency, the Government's Best Practice Guidance should be revised to make appropriate recommendations to support this objective.

**Recommendation 31**

Licensing authorities which have not already done so should set up lists of wheelchair accessible vehicles (WAVs) in compliance with s.167 of the Equality Act 2010, to ensure that passengers receive the protections which this provides.

**Recommendation 32**

Licensing authorities should use their existing enforcement powers to take strong action where disability access refusals are reported, to deter future cases. They should also ensure their systems and processes make it as easy as possible to report disability access refusals.

**Recommendation 33**

The low pay and exploitation of some, but not all, drivers is a source of concern. Licensing authorities should take into account any evidence of a person or business flouting employment law, and with it the integrity of the National Living Wage, as part of their test of whether that person or business is "fit and proper" to be a PHV or taxi operator.

**Recommendation 34**

Government should urgently review the evidence and case for restricting the number of hours that taxi and PHV drivers can drive, on the same safety grounds that restrict hours for bus and lorry drivers.